UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/521,567	08/29/2005	Kiyoshi Miyashita	2986-0134PUS1	4049
	7590 06/11/200 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH VA 22040 0747	RADEMAKER, CLAIRE L		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/11/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Commence	10/521,567	MIYASHITA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	CLAIRE L. RADEMAKER	1795				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILII - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repl tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH y statute, cause the application to become ABAN	ATION. y be timely filed IS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on	1/18/2005					
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	This action is non-final.					
<i>i</i>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	Taol Exparto Quayro, 1000 C.B.	11, 100 0.0.210.				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30</u> are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by t		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	48) Paper No(s)/ľ	nmary (PTO-413) Mail Date rmal Patent Application				

Art Unit: 1795

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Species A: A First Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 11, lines 6-12;

Species B: A Second Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 11, lines 13-19;

Species C: A Third Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 11, lines 20-25;

Species D: A Fourth Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 11, line 26 – page 12, line 5;

Species E: A Fifth Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 12, lines 6-12;

Species F: A Sixth Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 12, lines 13-19;

Species G: A Seventh Embodiment, as disclosed in the Specification page 12, lines 20-26;

Art Unit: 1795

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

Species A appears to correspond to claim 1;

Species B appears to correspond to claim 2;

Species C appears to correspond to claim 3;

Species D appears to correspond to claim 4;

Species E appears to correspond to claim 5;

Species F appears to correspond to claim 6;

Species G appears to correspond to claim 7;

The following claims appear generic: 8-30.

Art Unit: 1795

3. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Species A-G, which correspond to Embodiments 1-7, respectively, do not share a special technical feature because generic claims 8-30 do not have special technical features according to the following prior art: JP 2002-60805, JP 2001-279304, DE 19501802, JP 07-204493, US 6051614, US 2002/0009626, & JP 2002-222655.

4. A telephone call was made to Carl Thompson on May 14, 2008 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Art Unit: 1795

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CLAIRE L. RADEMAKER whose telephone number is (571)272-9809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:00AM - 4:30PM, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/C. L. R./ Examiner, Art Unit 1795

Art Unit: 1795

/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1795